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## 1 What are we trying to do here?

We want to spend some time thinking about raindrops and air resistance. In particular, we want to find a function $v_{y}(t)$ which maps time $t$ to the velocity of a falling raindrop.

We assume that our mathematical raindrop has both a constant mass and a fixed shape. Furthermore, we assume that there is no wind and the only forces governing the raindrops movement are $F_{g}$ (gravitational pull) and $F_{r}$ (air resistance).

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{t o t}=F_{g}-F_{r} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The air resistance in our model is proportional to $v_{y}(t)^{2} .{ }^{1}$ We also introduce air resistance coefficient $k$ which allows us to adjust our air resistance curve to be as close as possible to the real air resistance a falling drop would experience at fairly high velocities.

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{r}=k \cdot v_{y}(t)^{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

But what is a good value for $k$ ? Seeking for a fitting coefficient $k$, we stumble upon a 1969 paper by G. B. Foote and P. S. Du Toit titled Terminal Velocity of Raindrops Aloft. ${ }^{2}$

Armed with this paper, we go through a few steps which conclude in a value for our air resistance coefficient $k$. First, we use figure 2 on page 251 to determine the terminal velocity $V$ of a raindrop with a diameter of $5[\mathrm{~mm}]$ at an atmospheric pressure of $1013[\mathrm{mbar}]$ and get

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=9.1\left[\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right] . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Next we use the terminal velocity $V$, the radius $r$ and the kinematic viscosity of the air $v$ to calculate Reynolds number $R_{e}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{e} & =\frac{2 r V}{v}  \tag{1.4}\\
& =\frac{2 \cdot 0.0025[\mathrm{~m}] \cdot 9.1\left[\mathrm{~ms}^{-1}\right]}{1.516 \cdot 10^{-5}\left[\mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right]}  \tag{1.5}\\
& =3001.0 \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Now that we've got Reynolds number for our specific raindrop, we are able to get drag coefficient $C_{D}$ by consulting figure 1 on page 250 . We get a value of 0.645 . Armed with the drag coefficient $C_{D}$, radius $r$ and air density $\rho$, we are finally able to calculate the magnitude of air resistance coefficient $k$ using equation (1) on page 249.

$$
\begin{align*}
k & =\frac{1}{2} \rho C_{D} \pi r^{2}  \tag{1.7}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} \cdot 1.225\left[\mathrm{kgm}^{-3}\right] \cdot 0.645 \cdot \pi \cdot 6.25 \cdot 10^{-6}\left[\mathrm{~m}^{2}\right]  \tag{1.8}\\
& =7.757 \cdot 10^{-6}\left[\mathrm{kgm}^{-1}\right] \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Note how the air resistance coefficient $k$ comes with the SI units $\left[\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{m}^{-1}\right]$. When using $k$ in (1.2) we expect the resulting SI units to be $\left[\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{s}^{-2}\right]$.

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{r} & =k \cdot v_{y}(t)^{2}  \tag{1.10}\\
{\left[\mathrm{kgms}^{-2}\right] } & =\left[\mathrm{kgm}^{-1}\right] \cdot\left[\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-2}\right]  \tag{1.11}\\
& =\left[\mathrm{kgms}^{-2}\right] \tag{1.12}
\end{align*}
$$

And indeed, $\left[\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{s}^{-2}\right]$ is what we get.

## 2 THE EQUATION OF MOTION

Now we are finally ready to construct and solve the differential equation of our raindrop model. We start by taking a closer look at equation (1.1). Here it is again:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{t o t}=F_{g}-F_{r} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding all the Forces working on our raindrop yields $F_{t o t}$. And since $F_{t o t}$ accounts for all the forces, we can use it to calculate the resulting acceleration by inserting the famous

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=m \cdot a_{y}(t) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Doing this, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \cdot a_{y}(t)=F_{g}-F_{r} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we insert the following two equations

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{g} & =m \cdot g  \tag{2.3}\\
F_{r} & =k \cdot v_{y}(t)^{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

( $g$ being the acceleration of gravity) into (2.2) and get

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \cdot a_{y}(t)=m \cdot g-k \cdot v_{y}(t)^{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

And finally, we rewrite $a_{y}(t)$ as the derivative of $v_{y}(t)$ and arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \cdot \frac{d}{d t} v_{y}(t)=m \cdot g-k \cdot v_{y}(t)^{2} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we got the newtonian equation of motion for our raindrop. We want to find a specific function $v_{y}(t)$ which, when plugged into this equation satisfies it at any value t .

## 3 SOLVING THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

We start by tidying up (2.5) by dividing both sides of the equation by $m$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} v_{y}(t)=g-\frac{k}{m} \cdot v_{y}(t)^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we get everything ready for the first ingenious math technique by isolating $g$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} v_{y}(t)=g\left(1-\frac{k}{g m} \cdot v_{y}(t)^{2}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and introducing $\beta$ as a placeholder variable to get rid of some of the clutter:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{2}=\frac{k}{g m} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

this placeholder variable enables us to rewrite (3.2) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} v_{y} & =g\left(1-\beta^{2} v_{y}^{2}\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
& =g\left(1^{2}-\left(\beta v_{y}\right)^{2}\right)  \tag{3.5}\\
& =g\left(\left(1-\beta v_{y}\right) \cdot\left(1+\beta v_{y}\right)\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Starting at (3.40) we are shortening $v_{y}(t)$ to $v_{y}$ to make the equations easier to read. Now we divide both sides of the equation by the expression in the brackets. This yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left(1-\beta v_{y}\right) \cdot\left(1+\beta v_{y}\right)} \cdot \frac{d v_{y}}{d t}=g . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we switch around the terms to make the equation a bit more pleasant to look at.

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\frac{1}{\left(1-\beta v_{y}\right) \cdot\left(1+\beta v_{y}\right)} \cdot \frac{d v_{y}}{d t} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We take the integral with respect to $t$ on both sides of the equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int g \cdot d t=\int \frac{1}{\left(1-\beta v_{y}\right) \cdot\left(1+\beta v_{y}\right)} \cdot \frac{d v_{y}}{d t} \cdot d t \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left side of the equation becomes $g \cdot t+C_{1} . C_{1}$ being the constant of integration. But what about the right side?

$$
\begin{align*}
g \cdot t+C_{1} & =\int \frac{1}{\left(1-\beta v_{y}\right) \cdot\left(1+\beta v_{y}\right)} \cdot \frac{d v_{y}}{d t} \cdot d t  \tag{3.10}\\
& =\int \frac{1}{\left(1-\beta v_{y}\right) \cdot\left(1+\beta v_{y}\right)} \cdot d v_{y} \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

The two $d t$ disappear leaving us with with an integral with respect to $d v_{y}$. The disappearance of the two $d t$ terms is due to what can be described as doing the inverse of integral substitution. And this is the first ingenious math technique used on the journey to the solution of this differential equation.

But we still need to actually solve the integral on the right side. What holds us back is the squared $v_{y}$, which emerges as soon as we multiply out all the terms in the denominator. But why did we rearrange the terms in the denominator anyway? This is because we are about to use partial-fraction decomposition on it. We want to concentrate on the partial-fraction decomposition, so let's forget about the integral and let $p$ be the fraction inside the integral of equation (3.11).

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\frac{1}{\left(1-\beta v_{y}\right) \cdot\left(1+\beta v_{y}\right)} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let's examine the nominator. It is 1 . What if we defined the following equation?

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=A \cdot\left(1-\beta v_{y}\right)+B \cdot\left(1+\beta v_{y}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.13) also evaluates to 1 , so clearly we are able to insert it in the nominator of equation (3.12) which gives us

$$
\begin{align*}
p & =\frac{A \cdot\left(1-\beta v_{y}\right)+B \cdot\left(1+\beta v_{y}\right)}{\left(1-\beta v_{y}\right) \cdot\left(1+\beta v_{y}\right)}  \tag{3.14}\\
& =\frac{A \cdot\left(1-\beta v_{y}\right)}{\frac{\left(1-\beta v_{y}\right) \cdot\left(1+\beta v_{y}\right)}{}+\frac{B \cdot\left(1+\beta v_{y}\right)}{\left(1-\beta v_{y}\right) \cdot\left(1+\beta v_{y}\right)}}  \tag{3.15}\\
& =\frac{A}{1+\beta v_{y}}+\frac{B}{1-\beta v_{y}} \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

The resulting equation (3.16) will allow us to finally compute the integral in (3.11). The only thing left to do is to get specific values for A and B. Clearly, equation (3.13) doesn't hold true for any value $A$ and $B$. But what are the values which, when inserted, keep (3.13) satisfied no matter what magnitude $v_{y}(t)$ takes on? Since equation (3.13) has to hold true for any value a generic function $v_{y}(t)$ might return, it also has to hold true for the following two cases:

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{y}(t)=\frac{1}{\beta}  \tag{3.17}\\
& v_{y}(t)=-\frac{1}{\beta} \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Inserting (3.17) into (3.13) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
1 & =A\left(1-\beta \cdot \frac{1}{\beta}\right)+B\left(1+\beta \cdot \frac{1}{\beta}\right)  \tag{3.19}\\
& =A\left(1-\not \beta \cdot \frac{1}{\not \beta}\right)+B\left(1+\not \beta \cdot \frac{1}{\not \beta}\right)  \tag{3.20}\\
& =A(1-1)+B(1+1)  \tag{3.21}\\
& =A 0+B 2  \tag{3.22}\\
& =2 B . \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.23) we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\frac{1}{2} . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Likewise, inserting (3.18) into (3.13) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
1 & =A\left(1-\beta \cdot-\frac{1}{\beta}\right)+B\left(1+\beta \cdot-\frac{1}{\beta}\right)  \tag{3.25}\\
& =A\left(1+\beta \cdot \frac{1}{\beta}\right)+B\left(1-\beta \cdot \frac{1}{\beta}\right)  \tag{3.26}\\
& =A\left(1+\not \beta \cdot \frac{1}{\beta}\right)+B\left(1-\not \beta \cdot \frac{1}{\beta}\right)  \tag{3.27}\\
& =A(1+1)+B(1-1)  \tag{3.28}\\
& =A 2+B 0  \tag{3.29}\\
& =2 A . \tag{3.30}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.32) we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{1}{2} . \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

We insert above results for $A$ and $B$ into equation (3.16) and get

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{1+\beta v_{y}}+\frac{1}{1-\beta v_{y}}\right) . \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let's put $p$ back into 3.11 and finally calculate the integral.

$$
\begin{align*}
g t+C_{1} & =\frac{1}{2} \int\left(\frac{1}{1+\beta v_{y}}+\frac{1}{1-\beta v_{y}}\right) d v_{y}  \tag{3.33}\\
2 g t+C_{2} & =\int\left(\frac{1}{1+\beta v_{y}}+\frac{1}{1-\beta v_{y}}\right) d v_{y}  \tag{3.34}\\
& =\int\left(1+\beta v_{y}\right)^{-1} d v_{y}+\int\left(1-\beta v_{y}\right)^{-1} d v_{y}  \tag{3.35}\\
& =\frac{1}{\beta} \ln \left|1+\beta v_{y}\right|-\frac{1}{\beta} \ln \left|1-\beta v_{y}\right|+C_{3}  \tag{3.36}\\
& =\frac{1}{\beta}\left(\ln \left|1+\beta v_{y}\right|-\ln \left|1-\beta v_{y}\right|\right)+C_{3} \tag{3.37}
\end{align*}
$$

Because $\log (a)-\log (b)=\log \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$, we can rewrite (3.37) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 g t+C_{4}=\frac{1}{\beta} \ln \left|\frac{1+\beta v_{y}}{1-\beta v_{y}}\right| \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are taking the absolute value of the expression inside the natural logarithm because logarithms only work with positive numbers. If we are able to show that $1-\beta v_{y} \geq 0$ over the complete range of $v_{y}(t)$ we can get rid of the absolute value signs since we are certain that the expression will be positive.
To examine the Range of $v_{y}(t)$, we first acknowledge the fact that $\left|F_{g}\right| \geq\left|F_{r}\right|$ will always be true and thus the velocity will always be positive (we have setup the equation of motion in such a way that positive means downwards/towards the earth.) Therefore, $v_{y}(t) \geq 0$ over the complete domain $0 \leq t \leq \infty$. From (1.3) we also know that terminal velocity $V=9.1\left[\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right]$. Thus, the Range of $v_{y}(t)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq v_{y}(t) \leq 9.1\left[\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right] \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

But what about $\beta$ ? In (3.3) we defined $\beta$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{2}=\frac{k}{g m} \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\sqrt{\frac{k}{g m}} \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know the $k$ and $g$, and from yet another raindrop related paper ${ }^{3}$ we know that our raindrop, which we decided to have a diameter of $d=5[\mathrm{~mm}]$, has a mass $m=70[\mathrm{mg}]$. It follows

[^1]then, that
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta & =\sqrt{\frac{k}{g m}} .  \tag{3.42}\\
& =\sqrt{\frac{7.757 \cdot 10^{-6}\left[\mathrm{kgm}^{-1}\right]}{9.81\left[\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-2}\right] \cdot 7 \cdot 10^{-5}[\mathrm{~kg}]}}  \tag{3.43}\\
& =0.106\left[\mathrm{~m}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}\right] . \tag{3.44}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Using terminal velocity $V$ and the calculated value for $\beta$, we calculate the maximum value $\beta v_{y}$ is able to take as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta V & =0.106\left[\mathrm{~m}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}\right] \cdot 9.1\left[\mathrm{~ms}^{-1}\right]  \tag{3.45}\\
& =0.9646 . \tag{3.46}
\end{align*}
$$

Because of (3.46), we know that $1-\beta v_{y} \geq 0$ holds true over the complete range of $v_{y}(t)$. And thus we are able to get rid of the absolute value signs in (3.38), arriving at

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 g t+C_{4}=\frac{1}{\beta} \ln \left(\frac{1+\beta v_{y}}{1-\beta v_{y}}\right) \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a good time to decide what to do with differential constant $C_{4} . C_{4} \mathrm{~s}$ physical meaning is equivalent to that of the start velocity of our model at $t=0$. We want the raindrop to start at $v_{y}\left(t_{0}\right)=0\left[\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right]$. Therefore, we set it to 0 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 g \beta t=\ln \left(\frac{1+\beta v_{y}}{1-\beta v_{y}}\right) \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

So far so good. But how are we supposed to solve [3.48] for $v_{y}$ ? Yet another ingenious math strategy is about to unfold. Let's recall the very basic concept of what a logarithm is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
3=\log _{10}(1000) \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Question: To what power do I raise 10 to get 1000 ?
Answer: 3.

That's what logarithms are all about. And it is easy to see how we are able to rearrange the variables in (3.49) to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
10^{3}=1000 \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the same rearrangement operation to (3.48) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2 g \beta t}=\frac{1+\beta v_{y}}{1-\beta v_{y}} \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

We're almost there. Some more variable shuffling

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{2 g \beta t} & =\frac{1+\beta v_{y}}{1-\beta v_{y}}  \tag{3.52}\\
e^{2 g \beta t} \cdot\left(1-\beta v_{y}\right) & =1+\beta v_{y}  \tag{3.53}\\
e^{2 g \beta t}-e^{2 g \beta t} \beta v_{y} & =1+\beta v_{y}  \tag{3.54}\\
e^{2 g \beta t}-1 & =\beta v_{y}\left(1+e^{2 g \beta t}\right) \tag{3.55}
\end{align*}
$$

and we arrive at the final solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{y}(t)=\frac{1}{\beta} \cdot \frac{e^{2 g \beta t}-1}{e^{2 g \beta t}+1} . \tag{3.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

The graph below shows $v_{y}(t)$ plotted over the range $0[\mathrm{~s}] \leq t \leq 10[\mathrm{~s}]$.

t

It seems like $v_{y}(t)$ is converging to some value. This confirms our intuition about falling objects. The value $v_{y}(t)$ is converging to, of course, is the terminal Velocity. We already know the terminal Velocity $V$ from equation (1.3). But this $V$ isn't very mathematical. We got it from a graph on a paper about the terminal velocities of raindrops. We needed it to get a sensible drag coefficient $C_{d}$, but in order to know the true mathematically correct terminal velocity (let's call it $V_{t}$ ), we need to calculate the limit of $v_{y}(t)$ as $t$ approaches infinity.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} v_{y}(t)=V_{t}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\beta} \cdot \frac{e^{2 g \beta t}-1}{e^{2 g \beta t}+1} \tag{3.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying both the nominator and denominator of the right hand side of equation (3.57) by $e^{-2 g \beta t}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{t}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\beta} \cdot \frac{1-e^{-2 g \beta t}}{1+e^{-2 g \beta t}} . \tag{3.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

And since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-2 g \beta t}=0 \tag{3.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

equation (3.58) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{t} & =\frac{1}{\beta} \cdot \frac{1-0}{1+0} .  \tag{3.60}\\
& =\frac{1}{\beta} . \tag{3.61}
\end{align*}
$$

This turns out to be quite a surprise. The $\beta$, which we created merely as a way to keep our equations from getting too cluttered, turns out to be the terminal Velocity $V_{t}$ ! We already calculated $\beta$ to be $0.106\left[\mathrm{~m}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}\right]$ in equation (3.44). Using this result to calculate $V_{t}$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{t} & =\frac{1}{0.106\left[\mathrm{~m}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}\right]}  \tag{3.62}\\
& =9.434\left[\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right] \tag{3.63}
\end{align*}
$$

The units are spot on as well. Everything's falling into its place. Remember how we had to show that $1-\beta v_{y} \geq 0$ is true for the complete range of $v_{y}(t)$ to get rid of the absolute value signs in the equation below?

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 g t+C_{4}=\frac{1}{\beta} \ln \left|\frac{1+\beta v_{y}}{1-\beta v_{y}}\right| \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

We barely managed to do so in (3.46), but it wasn't very mathematical at all. We crudely used terminal velocity $V$ to vouch for us that $v_{y}(t)$ wouldn't get too big and we are indeed able to get rid of those absolute value signs. Armed with our new shiny $V_{t}=\beta^{-1}$ we can show that $1-\beta v_{y} \geq 0$ holds true in a much more satisfying way:

$$
\begin{align*}
1-\beta \cdot V_{t} & =1-\beta \cdot \beta^{-1}  \tag{3.64}\\
& =0 \geq 0 \tag{3.65}
\end{align*}
$$

And that's the end of our journey to solve the differential equation of a falling raindrop with air resistance proportional to the velocity squared.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Quadratic drag models the air resistance sensibly at high velocities (i.e. high Reynolds number, $\mathrm{Re}>1000$ ). Our raindrop has a Re of about 3000.
    ${ }^{2}$ https://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/pdf/10.1175/1520-0450\%281969\%29008\%3C0249\%3ATVORA\%3E2.0.CO\%3B2

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Humphreys, W. J. Physics of the Air. New York: Dover, 1964: 279

